

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
9

10 PACIFIC COAST STEEL, *et al.*,

11 Plaintiffs,

12 v.

13 TODD LEE LEANY, *et al.*,

14 Defendants.
15

Case No. 2:09-CV-02190-KJD-PAL

ORDER

16 Presently before the Court is Defendants' Objection (#340) to Magistrate's Order (#334)
17 granting in part and denying in part Plaintiff's Motion to Compel (#147). Plaintiffs filed a response
18 in opposition (#348) to which Defendants replied (#355). Objections to the magistrate judge's order
19 were filed pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-1 of the Local Rules of Practice of the United States District
20 Court for the District of Nevada.

21 Defendants are required to demonstrate that the magistrate judge's ruling is clearly erroneous
22 or contrary to law. The Court finds that the Magistrate's Order (#334) is neither clearly erroneous
23 nor contrary to law under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a). See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A).
24 This Court does not have a definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been made. See Weeks v.
25 Samsung Heavy Indus. Co. Ltd., 126 F.3d 926, 943 (7th Cir. 1997).

26 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendants' Objection (#340) is **DENIED**;

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen's Order (#334) is
2 **AFFIRMED;**

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that any discovery that has not yet been produced in
4 compliance with the magistrate judge's order (#334) be produced within thirty (30) days of
5 the entry of this order.

6 DATED this 16th day of April 2012.

7
8 

9
10 _____
Kent J. Dawson
United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26